

Fitch, Abby

From: MARK KAISER <mlkddk@outlook.com>
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2024 8:15 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: [EXTERNAL] And

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

The Second Amendment was never intended to guarantee one's safety. Its focus was to guarantee your ability to fight back.

However, in Colorado, the right to defend oneself is under attack by those who want to put severe limitations on any weapon you might obtain, own, or keep to defend yourself and yours

What should be of ultimate concern and importance is that the complete ignorance of a five to seven-minute response time by law enforcement will be an eternity when the lunatic looking for his 15 minutes of fame is using a gun, knife, or other weapon in a gun-free zone simply because they know there will be no armed resistance to their desire for mayhem.

Criminals don't fear laws, they ignore signs. But they do fear armed victims and severe punishment for their quests for fame.

Yet our electeds keep up their agenda to further water down criminal penalties while additionally attempting to completely take any form of self-defense from law-abiding citizens.

The question remains. Colorado still ranks number one in crime but little is being done to control crime. When does it end? Does it end with a completely fearful populace that cannot defend itself?

Mark L. Kaiser

Fitch, Abby

From: MARK KAISER <mlkddk@outlook.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2024 1:29 PM
To: Public Comment
Cc: DeMott, David
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect WEstminster Citizen 2nd amendment rights

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

~~~~~HB24-1270. RESEARCH

I have been researching the history of past gun control measures in countries.

While gun control measures are sold to voters as the only solution to enhancing gun safety, history teaches us that gun control measures have led to tyranny, oppression, and violence. Regardless of political promises, an armed society is a polite society and an important defense against oppression. And despite numerous claims to the contrary, the factual evidence points that disarmament leaves the citizens vulnerable to government tyranny and violence by both the government and criminal elements. My research analyzed the importance of an armed populace as a defense against "mass extermination, legalized government and criminal violence against a hapless society.

Following are several examples of how governments used gun registration and confiscation to exterminate citizens and carry out genocide of the defenseless citizens of each country

The Armenian Ottoman Empire used gun registration to locate guns and then confiscate all guns. The result was that 1.5 million defenseless Armenian citizens who died due to death marches and mass murders by their government.

Joseph Stalin implemented laws that forbid private gun ownership. The results were that another 20 million people died as Stalin was able to determine who had guns and exterminated their lives.

The Jewish people were the targets of Hitler's Nazi Germany. The Jew's firearms were confiscated by the brown shirts, and then the Jews were herded into forced labor camps and death chambers. The death toll to the Jewish population was 6 million.

In China, Mao Zedong's government also disarmed the population to centralize the power into the Communist Party. Millions of Chinese citizens have been slaughtered by the Communist regime just illustrating the results of an unarmed citizenry.

In Cambodia, the Khmer Rouge mandated strict gun control laws. After completing this action, about 25 percent of the Cambodian population was eliminated by the Khmer Rouge brutality.

Venezuela's Hugo Chavez imposed inflexible gun control laws, which he used to confiscate all firearms and spiked an uncontrollable surge of both government and criminal violence. With citizens unable to protect themselves, Venezuela's economy collapsed as did citizen freedoms.

The Second Amendment in our United States Constitution guarantees that America's citizens may resist government tyranny and defend their constitutional rights. Citizens that are armed are a defense and check of governmental overreach. The Second Amendment also is designed to maintain our liberty and stabilize our country when faced with threats to our union. In my studies of the effects and repercussions

of unconstitutional gun control, it becomes factually evident that an armed citizenry is vital to safeguard freedom, and prevent tyranny and overreaching government controls.

In closing, one can see the veiled threat that the Colorado legislature and Governor Polis are proposing to the state of Colorado. And unfortunately, there seem to be striking parallels between proposed legislation and the history of gun controls in other countries. Factually, they seem to be copying history and the history of gun control by government has resulted in tyranny.

And with our borders being WIDE OPEN and many illegal immigrants coming in without being vetted, disarming the Westminster community is not a idea that will protect the community as a whole. We have a great police department, but they cannot cover everything in an instant. ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `

Mark L. Kaiser  
7035 Zenobia Street  
Westminster, Co 80030  
3035980630  
Registered Volunteer Lobbyist